

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,816	06/01/2005	Gerhard Rohner	8470G-000026/NP	6572	
27572	7590 02/09/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			PATIDAR, JAY M		
P.O. BOX 82 BLOOMFIE	28 LD HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2862		
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/200	DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/511,816	ROHNER ET AL.	(m)				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	- V -				
	Jay M. Patidar	2862					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commissandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
·— ·	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all							
closed in accordance with the practice un-	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 October 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ments have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the		n received in this National Sta	age				
application from the International B							
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	ot received.	·				
Attachment(s)	_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/04.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)				

Application/Control Number: 10/511,816 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract does not set forth the nature and gist of the invention.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

3. Claims are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 3, the structure as set forth at line 5, the phrase "that in the opposite....south pole" is not clearly understood from the language of the claim;

In claim 4, the structure as set forth at lines 3-10 is vague and not clearly understood.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Padula et al. (5,365,791) in view of WO 93 22778.

Page 3

As to claims 1-2, Padula discloses a hall displacement sensing apparatus including a Hall sensor 36 and a diametrically magnetic field generating arrangement 58,60 wherein the hall sensor disposed centrally and in an axial movement in a bore. Padula fails to show a magnetic tube that is being cross-magnetized with opposite polarity. '778 is cited to show this feature. '778 discloses a magnetic tube, which is cross-magnetized with opposite polarity (fig. 8). Consequently, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Padula to have a magnetic tube magnetized diametrically with opposite polarity as disclosed by '778 since this type magnetic arrangement is known in the art to determine the axial position of the object.

As to claims 3-4 (insofar as understood), changing the polarity of the magnetic field generating arrangement in an axial direction is known in the art and would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art to determine the axial position of the object.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,816 Page 4

Art Unit: 2862

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Note PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is

571-272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

Jay M. Patidar Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2862

Email: <u>Jay.Patidar@USPTO.gov</u>

February 6, 2006